



## PLANNING COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, 15 NOVEMBER 2023

10.30 AM COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNTY HALL, LEWES

MEMBERSHIP - Councillor Tom Liddiard (Chair)  
Councillors Philip Lunn (Deputy Chair), Abul Azad, Godfrey Daniel,  
Kathryn Field, Eleanor Kirby-Green and Pat Rodohan

### A G E N D A

1. Minutes of the meeting held on 18 October 2023 (*Pages 3 - 4*)
2. Apologies for absence
3. Disclosures of interests  
Disclosures by all members present of personal interests in matters on the agenda, the nature of any interest and whether the member regards the interest as prejudicial under the terms of the Code of Conduct.
4. Urgent items  
Notification of items which the Chair considers to be urgent and proposes to take at the appropriate part of the agenda. Any members who wish to raise urgent items are asked, wherever possible, to notify the Chair before the start of the meeting. In so doing, they must state the special circumstances which they consider justify the matter being considered urgent.

### **Traffic Regulation Orders - report(s) by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport**

5. Traffic Regulation Orders - Eastbourne Parking Review 2022-23 (*Pages 5 - 18*)  
Report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport
6. Any other items previously notified under agenda item 4

PHILIP BAKER  
Assistant Chief Executive  
County Hall, St Anne's Crescent  
LEWES BN7 1UE

7 November 2023

Contact Sophie Webb, Governance and Democracy Officer,  
01273 337495  
Email: [sophie.webb@eastsussex.gov.uk](mailto:sophie.webb@eastsussex.gov.uk)

NOTE: *As part of the County Council's drive to increase accessibility to its public meetings, this meeting will be broadcast live on its website and the record archived. The live broadcast is accessible at: [www.eastsussex.gov.uk/yourcouncil/webcasts/default.htm](http://www.eastsussex.gov.uk/yourcouncil/webcasts/default.htm)*

This page is intentionally left blank

## PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES of a meeting of the Planning Committee held at Council Chamber, County Hall, Lewes on 18 October 2023.

---

PRESENT Councillors Tom Liddiard (Chair), Philip Lunn (Deputy Chair), Abul Azad, Godfrey Daniel, Kathryn Field and Eleanor Kirby-Green

### 14. MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 13 SEPTEMBER 2023

14.1 The Committee approved as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 13 September 2023.

### 15. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

15.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Pat Rodohan.

### 16. DISCLOSURES OF INTERESTS

16.1 Councillor Daniel declared a personal interest in item 6 as a holder of a blue badge; as a resident of Lower Park Road, although his residence is not within the area of the proposal; and as a member of the Labour Party in relation to Borough Councillors who have expressed their support and are also members of the Labour Party. He did not consider these to be prejudicial.

### 17. URGENT ITEMS

17.1 There were none.

### 18. REPORTS

18.1 Reports referred to in the minutes below are contained in the minute book.

### 19. TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER - A264 HAMMERWOOD TO HOLTYE (40MPH & 50MPH SPEED LIMIT)

19.1 The Committee considered a report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport.

19.2 Councillor Georgia Taylor, the Local Member spoke in support of the recommendations.

19.3 The Committee Members have considered the report and agreed with the officer's conclusion and reason for recommendation set out in paragraph 4 of the report.

19.4 The Committee RESOLVED to:

1) Not uphold the objections to the draft Order; and

2) Recommend that the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport make the Traffic Regulation Order as advertised.

### 20. TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER - HASTINGS PARKING REVIEW 2022-2023

20.1 The Committee considered a report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport.

20.2 Mr Tony Fitzpatrick spoke in support of the officer's recommendation for Site 26 Lower Park Road, Hastings.

20.3 The following Local Members spoke on the following sites detailed in the report:

Site	Location	Local Member
1	Exmouth Place	Cllr Hilton
2	Queens Road, Wellington Place, York Gardens	Cllr Daniel
4	Earl Street and Mann Street	Cllr Daniel
5	Edmund Road, Edwin Road, Githa Road and Godwin Road	Cllr Hilton
6	Mount Road	Cllr Hilton
10	Amherst Road	Cllr Daniel
13	Croft Road	Cllr Hilton
14	Harold Road	Cllr Hilton
17	Priory Road	Cllr Hilton
19	All Saints Crescent	Cllr Hilton
20	Bembrook Road, Collier Road and Croft Road	Cllrs Daniel and Hilton
22	Castledown Avenue	Cllr Daniel
24	Cornwallis Gardens	Cllr Daniel
26	Lower Park Road	Cllr Daniel
27	Milward Road	Cllr Daniel
28	Robertson Terrace	Cllr Daniel
29	White Rock Road	Cllr Daniel

20.4 Members have considered the report and comments of the public speakers and Local Members, and agree with the conclusions and reasons for the recommendations as set out in the report.

20.5 The Committee RESOLVED to:

- 1) Uphold the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 1 of the report;
- 2) Uphold, in part, the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 2 of the report;
- 3) Not uphold the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 3 of the report; and
- 4) Recommend to the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport that the Traffic Regulation Order be made in part with minor modifications as set out in paragraph 2.10 of the report.

## 21. DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT UPDATE

21.1 The Committee considered a report by the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport which detailed the site monitoring and enforcement work completed in the last three months (1 July 2023 to 30 September 2023).

21.2 The Committee RESOLVED to note the report.

(The meeting ended at 1.09 pm)

CHAIRMAN

Committee:	<b>Planning Regulatory Committee</b>
Date:	<b>15 November 2023</b>
Report by:	<b>Director of Communities, Economy and Transport</b>
Title of Report:	<b>Traffic Regulation Orders – Eastbourne Parking Review 2022-23</b>
Purpose of Report:	<b>To consider the objections received in response to the formal consultation on the draft Traffic Regulation Order associated with the Eastbourne Parking Review</b>
Contact Officer:	<b>Natalie Mclean – tel. 01273 482628</b>
Local Members:	<b>Brett Wright, David Tutt, John Ungar, Pat Rodohan, Stephen Holt</b>

---

## **RECOMMENDATION**

**The Planning Committee is recommended to:**

- 1. Uphold the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 1 of this report.**
  - 2. Uphold, in part, the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 2 of this report.**
  - 3. Not uphold the objections to the draft Order as set out in Appendix 3 of this report.**
  - 4. Recommend to the Director of Communities, Economy and Transport that the Traffic Regulation Order be made in part.**
- 
- 

## **CONSIDERATION BY DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITIES, ECONOMY AND TRANSPORT.**

### **1. Introduction**

- 1.1** Requests for new or for changes to existing parking and waiting restrictions in the Eastbourne Borough area are held on a priority ranking database, with those requests ranking high enough being progressed to consultation. Informal consultations began in February 2023 to see whether there was enough public support to introduce further controls such as double yellow lines or changes to permit parking schemes in the borough.
- 1.2** Feedback from the consultations led to formal proposals being developed. These formal proposals were advertised, together with the draft Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) (a copy of which is attached at Appendix 4) in the Eastbourne Herald on 14 July 2023. Notices and copies of the relevant plans were placed on posts and lamp-columns in the affected areas. Approximately 1800 letters were delivered to local addresses and the consultation was placed on the Council's Consultation Hub for any member of the public to comment. The formal period for representations to be made ended on 11 August 2023.
- 1.3** Copies of the formal proposals were sent to relevant Borough Councillors, County Councillors and statutory consultees including the emergency services. Copies of all supporting correspondence are available in the Members' Room and have also been made available to Planning Committee members in electronic format.

1.4 During the formal consultation 113 items of correspondence were received. These included 85 objections and 28 items of support. Two objections have since been withdrawn.

## **2. Comments and Appraisal**

2.1 Each item of correspondence has been considered individually and a summary of the objections and officer comments are included in Appendices 1, 2 and 3. Plans and photographs showing the areas objected to are included in the Additional Information Pack

2.2 Four of the sites in this report relate to a proposed new zone C, which includes parts of the existing zones A and S as well as extending the existing permit scheme to a number of additional streets bordering the existing zones. Based on all of the representations received for these sites overall support for the new permit zone is approximately 19%, which officers feel is not high enough to proceed with the proposed zone C.

2.3 Following consideration of the responses, it is recommended to uphold the objections summarised in Appendix 1 and withdraw the proposals at the following sites:

- Bourne Street, Ceylon Place, Pevensey Road
- Colonnade Gardens, Colonnade Road, Marine Parade, Marine Parade Road, Queens Gardens, Seaside Road

Officers are satisfied that the objections received to these proposals do provide sufficient grounds to warrant their withdrawal.

Should the Planning Committee agree with the officers recommendations for these sites then the proposal for Royal Parade, included as site 12 in the additional information pack, will also be withdrawn. Although the proposal for Royal Parade did not receive any objections the only change proposed was to add the proposed zone C to permit holders and pay and display bays which are currently permit holders H or pay and display.

2.4 Following consideration of the responses, it is recommended to modify the following proposals (summarised in Appendix 2);

- Longstone Road
- Marine Road, Royal Parade, Seaside, St Aubyn's Road

Officers are satisfied that these modifications do not involve a substantial change to the draft Order and it is unnecessary to consult again on their implementation.

2.5 With regard to objections relating to the sites listed below and as set out in Appendix 3, it is not considered that these objections provide sufficient grounds to warrant the modification or withdrawal of the proposals, and the proposals provide for the most efficient use of parking space. It is considered that these objections should not be upheld. The sites objected to and where it is recommended that the objections are not upheld are;

- Belmore Road, New Road
- Gore Park Road, Milton Road
- Grove Road, Old Orchard Road
- King Edwards Parade
- Langney Rise, The Vineries
- Langney Road
- South Street

2.6 It is also recommended that all other proposals not objected to should be implemented as advertised.

### **3. Conclusion and reasons for recommendations**

3.1 The approach in trying to resolve objections to the Order has been to appraise the concerns raised by residents and other road users, whilst not compromising road safety or other factors. Objections on two of the sites are considered to merit the withdrawal of the proposal. On balance, it is recommended that some objections are upheld with some minor modifications being incorporated into the Order. With the rest of the objections, officers consider that, for highway and road safety reasons, (as set out in Appendix 3) that they should not be upheld and the proposals in these areas should proceed as per the draft TRO as advertised. One site did not receive any objections, but officers consider that it should be withdrawn due to its connection to other proposals that are recommended to be withdrawn.

3.2 It is therefore recommended for the reasons set out in this report, that the Planning Committee upholds the objections in Appendix 1, upholds in part the objections in Appendix 2, does not uphold the objections in Appendix 3, and recommends to the Director of Communities, Economy, and Transport that the Order be made in part.

#### **RUPERT CLUBB**

Director of Communities, Economy and Transport

#### Background Documents

None

This page is intentionally left blank



## **Appendix 1 – Proposals where objections are proposed to be upheld**

### **1. Site 1 Bourne Street, Ceylon Place, Pevensey Road (Councillor Stephen Holt)**

- 1.1 The proposal at this location is to implement a new permit zone C in Bourne Street, Ceylon Place and Pevensey Road, install new no waiting at any time restrictions as required to protect access, and change existing zone S permit holders or time limited parking in Ceylon Place to zone C permit holders only.
- 1.2 Eight objections have been received, five on the grounds that the proposed new zone is too small and that the proposed changes will not ease parking difficulties. Two objections are on the grounds that the residents do not want to pay for permits and one objection is on the grounds that no loading bay was proposed to cater for a hotel on Pevensey Road. Three items of support were received for this proposal.
- 1.3 The proposal follows reports of difficulty parking in the existing permit zones and surrounding streets.
- 1.4 Having considered all the objections, officers are satisfied that there are sufficient grounds to withdraw the proposal. Residents wanting access protection can apply for an Access Protection Marking (APM) where a dropped kerb is present.
- 1.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Holt has not replied to provide their views regarding the recommendation.
- 1.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold the objections and withdraw the proposal

### **2. Site 2 Colonnade Gardens, Colonnade Road, Marine Parade, Marine Parade Road, Queens Gardens, Seaside Road (Councillor Stephen Holt)**

- 2.1 The proposal at this location is to implement a new permit zone C in Colonnade Gardens, Colonnade Road, Marine Parade, Marine Parade Road, Queens Gardens, and Seaside Road, moving these streets from the existing zone S, and to add the new zone to some pay and display or permit holders (H) bays in Marine Parade Road and Marine Parade.
- 2.2 Eleven objections have been received, all on the grounds that the proposed new zone is too small and that the proposed changes will not ease parking difficulties. No items of support were received for this proposal.
- 2.3 The proposal follows reports of difficulty parking in the existing permit zones and surrounding streets.
- 2.4 Having considered all the objections, officers are satisfied that there are sufficient grounds to withdraw the proposal.
- 2.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Holt has not replied to provide their views regarding the recommendation.
- 2.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold the objections and withdraw the proposal.

This page is intentionally left blank

## **Appendix 2 – Proposals where objections are upheld in part**

### **1. Site 3 Marine Road, Royal Parade, Seaside, St Aubyn's Road (Councillor Stephen Holt)**

- 1.1 The proposal at this location is to implement a new permit zone C in Marine Road, Royal Parade, Seaside and St Aubyn's Road, install new no waiting at any time restrictions as required to protect access, formalise two blue badge holders only bays in St Aubyn's Road and extend a blue badge holders only bay outside the children's centre in Marine Road.
- 1.2 Eight objections have been received, six on the grounds that the residents do not want to pay for permits and that the proposed changes will not ease parking difficulties. One objection is on the grounds that more disabled bays should be installed on Marine Road and one objection is on the grounds that the resident finds it confusing to have more than one type of bay in a single street. Three items of support were received for this proposal.
- 1.3 The proposal follows reports of difficulty parking in the existing permit zones and surrounding streets. Currently residents in Burfield Road, Leaf Hall Road, Marine Road, Seaside, and St Aubyn's Road are eligible to purchase zone S permits. There are currently no permit holder parking bays on these roads. The proposal aimed to address this by installing parking bays in Marine Road, Seaside and St Aubyn's Road. If the proposed zone C is withdrawn residents in Burfield Road, Leaf Hall Road, Marine Road, Seaside, and St Aubyn's Road will no longer be eligible for zone S permits.
- 1.4 Having considered all the objections, officers are satisfied that there are sufficient grounds to withdraw the proposed permit zone but to continue with the extension of the blue badge holders only bay outside of the children's centre on Marine Road. Residents wanting access protection can apply for an Access Protection Marking (APM) where a dropped kerb is present.
- 1.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Holt has not replied to provide their views regarding the recommendation.
- 1.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold, in part, the objections and to modify the proposal by only extending the blue badge holders only bay on Marine Road.

### **2. Site 4 Longstone Road (Councillor Stephen Holt)**

- 2.1 The proposal at this location is to install a new blue badge holders only at any time bay outside of the Eastbourne Blind Society building in Longstone Road.
- 2.2 One objection has been received, on the grounds that the bay would not be required outside of business hours. One item of support was received for this proposal and the objector confirmed that their objection would be satisfied by reducing the operational hours.
- 2.3 The proposal follows a request from the Eastbourne Blind Society to replace existing advisory keep clear markings with blue badge holder parking.
- 2.4 It is recognised that the proposals can be modified by reducing the proposed operating times from at any time to 8am to 5pm, meeting the needs of the Eastbourne Blind Society and also allowing residents to utilise the spaces overnight.
- 2.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Holt has not replied to provide their views regarding the recommendation.

2.6 **Recommendation:** To uphold, in part, the objections and to modify the proposal by reducing the proposed hours of operation to 8am to 5pm.

### **Appendix 3 – Proposals where objections are not upheld and are proposed to be implemented as advertised**

#### **1. Site 5 Belmore Road, New Road (Councillor Stephen Holt)**

- 1.1 The proposal at this location is to install a new no waiting at any time restriction in Belmore Road opposite it's junction with New Road.
- 1.2 Seven objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the loss of parking spaces will increase pressures on parking in the area, affect property values, and that the restriction will not solve the issue of large vehicles accessing New Road. One objection has since been withdrawn and one item of support was received for this proposal.
- 1.3 The proposal follows a request from the waste collection team due to issues collecting waste and vehicle access generally being difficult for larger vehicles. The service manager responsible for waste collection has confirmed that waste collections are regularly hindered, disrupted or postponed due to the turning area being too tight. He has also confirmed that they are already using the smallest dustcart on the market.
- 1.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The proposals Sallow for a much larger turning point at the junction with New Road as well as providing a suitable space for larger vehicles to stop and load or unload without blocking Belmore Road.
- 1.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Holt has not replied to provide their views regarding the recommendation.
- 1.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

#### **2. Site 6 Gore Park Road, Milton Road (Councillor John Ungar)**

- 2.1 The proposal at this location is to extend the existing no waiting at any time restriction on the northern side of the junction of Gore Park Road and Milton Road and to install a new no waiting at any time restriction on the southern side of the junction.
- 2.2 Four objections have been received from local residents on the grounds that the loss of parking spaces will increase pressures on parking in the area.
- 2.3 The proposal follows a request from a resident as the junction is regularly parked on, reducing visibility.
- 2.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. The proposals as set out will increase visibility and traffic flow at the junction.
- 2.5 Councillor Ungar has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented as advertised.
- 2.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **3. Site 7 Grove Road, Old Orchard Road (Councillor Pat Rodohan)**

- 3.1 The proposal at this location is to replace a section of pay and display Mon to Sat 8am-6pm in Grove Road with police only at any time, replace the existing police only at any time in Old Orchard Road with blue badge holders only at any time, and replace time limited bays Mon to Sat 8am-6pm and no waiting except taxis at any time with shared use permit holders or pay and display 8am-6pm.
- 3.2 Thirty-five objections have been received, with twenty-five from taxi drivers on the grounds that the taxi bays are essential at peak times, and six not giving any reason for their objection. Two objections are on the grounds that they believe the changes to the pay and display and police parking will have a negative impact on businesses and residents and that the additional blue badge holder bays are not in an appropriate location. One objection is on the grounds that the resident has planning permission for a hardstanding/driveway behind a significant portion of the current taxi bay and wants it completely removed. Five items of support were received for this proposal.
- 3.3 The proposals follow a request from Sussex Police to relocate the police bay closer to the new entrance to their premises, a request for more disabled bays in the area, and aim to better utilise the available kerb space by changing the use of underused bays to increase the number of spaces available for permit holder and visitor parking.
- 3.4 Following usage surveys of the existing taxi ranks over a period of approximately four months at different times of day, the additional rank was used 13 times when space was not available in the first rank. At the time of this report being written no application has been made for a crossover access. Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.
- 3.5 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

### **4. Site 8 King Edwards Parade (Councillor Brett Wright)**

- 4.1 The proposal at this location is to install new blue badge holder only and time limited Mon to Sat 8am-6pm parking on King Edwards Parade.
- 4.2 Three objections have been received, one on the grounds that the time limited parking will not accommodate all-day visitors to the area, and two on the grounds that the proposals do not go far enough and there should be no free parking along King Edwards Parade. Ten items of support were received for this proposal.
- 4.3 The proposals follow a request from a resident for blue badge holder parking and multiple requests for more time limited parking to improve access to facilities in the area.
- 4.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.
- 4.5 Councillor Wright has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented as advertised.
- 4.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **5. Site 9 Langney Rise, The Vineries (Councillor David Tutt)**

- 5.1 The proposal at this location is to install new no waiting at any time restrictions on both sides of the junction of Langney Rise and The Vineries.
- 5.2 Two objections have been received on the grounds that the loss of parking spaces will increase pressures on parking in the area. One item of support was received for this proposal.
- 5.3 The proposals follow a request from a County Councillor for a verge ban on Langney Rise to deal with problem parking.
- 5.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn.
- 5.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Tutt has not replied to provide their views regarding the recommendation.
- 5.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **6. Site 10 Langney Road (Councillor Stephen Holt)**

- 6.1 The proposal at this location is to remove a blue badge holders only bay and to create a continuous stretch of permit holders only at any time.
- 6.2 Two objections have been received on the grounds that the bay is close to the town centre and regularly used. One item of support was received for this proposal.
- 6.3 The proposals follow a usage survey as the bay is no longer needed by the resident that it was installed for. No eligible resident responded to the survey.
- 6.4 Having considered the objections, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. It is standard practice to remove bays which are installed for a specific resident once they are no longer required.
- 6.5 At the time of writing, Councillor Holt has not replied to provide their views regarding the recommendation.
- 6.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.

## **7. Site 11 South Street (Councillor Brett Wright)**

- 7.1 The proposal at this location is to shorten a bus stop clearway, relocate a loading bay and create a longer stretch of permit holders or pay and display parking.
- 7.2 One objection has been received on the grounds that local businesses need the loading bay where it is currently.

- 7.3 The proposals follow reports of larger vehicles having difficulty manoeuvring in and out of the loading bay due to vehicles being parked on both sides, and sometimes parking in the bus stop clearway instead.
- 7.4 Having considered the objection, officers are satisfied that there are not sufficient grounds for the proposals to be withdrawn. Relocating the loading bay to the end of the bus stop clearway will improve access for larger vehicles.
- 7.5 Councillor Wright has confirmed his support for the proposal to be implemented as advertised.
- 7.6 **Recommendation:** To not uphold the objections and install the proposal as advertised.



**Appendix 4 – Draft Traffic Regulation Order, as advertised**

**EAST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL**

**ROAD TRAFFIC REGULATION ACT 1984, ROAD TRAFFIC ACT 1991 & TRAFFIC  
MANAGEMENT ACT 2004**

**The East Sussex (Eastbourne)(Parking Places and Waiting, No Stopping  
and Loading Restrictions)Traffic Regulation Order 2023 Amendment No Order 202\***

East Sussex County Council, in exercise of their powers under Sections 1(1), 2(1) to (4), 3(2), 4(2), 32, 35(1) and (3), 45, 49, 51, 52, 53 of, and Part IV of Schedule 9 to the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (“the Act”) as amended, the Road Traffic Act 1991 (as amended), Part 6 of the Traffic Management Act 2004, and of all other enabling powers and after consultation with the Chief Officer of Police in accordance with Part III of Schedule 9 to the Act hereby make the following Order:-

**1. Commencement and citation**

This Order may be cited as “The East Sussex (Eastbourne)(Parking Places and Waiting, No Stopping and Loading Restrictions)Traffic Regulation Order 2023 Amendment No \* Order 202\* ” and shall come into effect on xxx xxx xxx

**2. When this Order comes into effect:**

(a) The East Sussex (Eastbourne)(Parking Places and Waiting, No Stopping and Loading Restrictions)Traffic Regulation Order 2023, as amended, shall have effect except as hereinafter contained.

**(i) The Order Plans shall be amended as follows:**

<b>The map tiles below shall be revoked</b>	<b>The map tiles below shall be inserted</b>
<b>Overview Area A</b>	<b>Overview Area A Revision *</b>
NL172	NL172 Revision *
<b>Overview Area B</b>	<b>Overview Area B Revision *</b>
NC179	NC179 Revision *
ND179	ND179 Revision *
NJ182	NJ182 Revision *
NK183	NK183 Revision *
NK184	NK184 Revision *
<b>Overview Area C</b>	<b>Overview Area C Revision *</b>
NV172	NV172 Revision *
OB170	OB170 Revision *
OC171	OC171 Revision *
OC172	OC172 Revision *
<b>Overview Area TC</b>	<b>Overview Area TC Revision *</b>
OD179	OD179 Revision *
OE179	OE179 Revision *
OE180	OE180 Revision *
OF179	OF179 Revision *
OF180	OF180 Revision *

OG178	OF178 Revision *
OG179	OG179 Revision *
OG180	OG180 Revision *
OH176	OH176 Revision *
OH179	OH179 Revision *
OI176	OI176 Revision *
OI177	OI177 Revision *
OI178	OI178 Revision *
OI179	OI179 Revision *
OJ178	OJ178 Revision *
OJ179	OJ179 Revision *
<b>Overview Area E</b>	<b>Overview Area E Revision *</b>
OS174	OS174 Revision *

THE COMMON SEAL of EAST SUSSEX )  
COUNTY COUNCIL was affixed )  
hereto on the     day of             two     )  
thousand and     in the presence of:-     )

Authorised Signatory

H & T Ctte. 2.4.74 - para 4.2 joint report of Director of Legal  
& Community Services & County Engineer - para 4.